

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/600,590	07/19/2000	BERNARD ASPAR	025219-268	5219
ROBERT E. K	7590 02/21/2007 REBS	,	EXAM	INER
THELEN REII	O & PRIEST LLP	KRUER, KEVIN R		
P.O. BOX 6406 SAN JOSE, CA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1773	<u> </u>
				<del>-</del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/600,590	ASPAR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kevin R. Kruer	1773		

Advisory Action	09/600,590	ASPAR ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Kevin R. Kruer	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
IE REPLY FILED 16 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· -	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		li be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-17, 35, and 51-53</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. ☑ Other: see attached.						

Application/Control Number: 09/600,590

Art Unit: 1773

## **Advisory Action**

Applicant's arguments filed January 16, 2007 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant's proposed amendment has not been entered because it is not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing and/or simplifying the issues on appeal. The amendment also would require further search and/or consideration. The new limitations of claim 35 have previously bee considered. Furthermore, the amendment has not been entered because they raise the issue of new matter. It is not clear where the new limitations of claim 35 are supported by the specification.

Applicant argues proposed claim 35 has been amended to recite, among other things, that the structure is bonded on a surface carrier by molecular adhesion to constitute a bonding interface. Said argument is most since it is contingent upon the entry of a non-entered after final amendment. Furthermore, the examiner disagrees with applicant's argument that Bisaro does not teach said limitation. The examiner notes any type of bonding is going to read on "molecular adhesion."

Thus, applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin R. Kruer whose telephone number is 571-272-1510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/600,590

Art Unit: 1773

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin R. Kruer

Ha R Kmen

Patent Examiner-Art Unit 1773